

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/509,348	Applicant(s) SHALEV, ILAN	
	Examiner BHISMA MEHTA	Art Unit 3767	

All Participants:

(1) BHISMA MEHTA.

(2) Maier Fenster.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 February 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 25, 36, 40-42, 48, 50, and 55

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amendments to the specification, as indicated in the Examiner's Amendment, were discussed and agreed upon to provide disclosure of the claimed subject matter in the specification. It was also agreed that previously withdrawn claims 25, 36-38, 40-43, 48, and 50 would be rejoined. Amendments to claims 25, 36, 40-42, 48, 50, and 55, as indicated in the Examiner's Amendment, were discussed and agreed upon to address minor informalities in these claims.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Bhisma Mehta/
Examiner, Art Unit 3767

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)